Mesoporous $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ with enhanced acetone gas-sensing property
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A B S T R A C T

Ordered mesoporous $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ with controlled morphology have been synthesized using "container effect" nanocasting method. The mesoporous $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ with larger mesopore size and smaller particle diameter exhibited improved sensitivity (response of 29.8 to 50 ppm of acetone at 300 °C), faster response and recovery times (0.7 and 14 s) and higher selectivity to acetone. The acetone detection limit is as low as 500 ppb, which favors the precise diagnosis of human diabetes. The enhanced acetone sensing performance benefits from the large surface area with enough sensing active sites, proper pore size for sufficient gas diffusion and small particle size for effective electron depletion.

1. Introduction

Indium oxide ($\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$), as an important n-type and wide band-gap semiconductor (3.55–3.75 eV), is of great interest for use in electronic and optical applications, especially in resistive gas sensing, including toxic/dangerous reducing gases and oxidizing gases [1,2]. Porous structure with large surface areas and more reaction sites of $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ are supposed to enhance the gas sensing performance [3,4]. Among all kinds of preparation methods for porous $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$, nanocasting synthesis of mesoporous $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ with 2–50 nm ordered pores has been proved to be an efficient way to improve the gas-sensing response, because the as-prepared $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ are with large surface-to-volume ratios and high thermal stability [5]. Tiemann’s group reported the enhanced sensitivity of ordered mesoporous $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ to CH$_4$ with controlled pore sizes and pore wall thickness [6]. Lai et al. reported the synthesis of ordered mesoporous $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ with improved response to HCHO [7]. Pellicer’s group researched the sensing properties and functional mechanism of CaO-loaded mesoporous $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ to sensing of CO$_2$ [8].

Acetone, as an industrial solvent and flammable gas, is also an important breath biomarker for noninvasive diagnosis of human type-1 diabetes [9]. Resistive type acetone sensors using various semiconducting metal oxides, such as WO$_3$ and $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$, exhibit significant application on the field of biomedical, chemical industries, and personal safety, due to their real-time detection and easy miniaturization [10,11]. However, fabrication of mesoporous $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ acetone sensor with fast response time, low detection limit and high sensitivity is still a major challenge.

In this work, we synthesized ordered mesoporous $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ with different particle sizes and pore-size distributions by utilizing our previous reported "container effect" during nanocasting procedure [12]. The as-prepared mesoporous $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ were applied as a high performance gas sensor to acetone down to 500 ppb and with fast response time and high selectivity. The gas-sensing mechanism was also investigated in detail.

2. Experimental

Mesoporous $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ was synthesized using the nanocasting method with ordered mesoporous silica KIT-6 as hard template. Typically, 1.0 g $\text{In(NO}_3)_3$·5$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and 0.5 g KIT-6 were dissolved in 10.0 mL of ethanol. The ethanol was then evaporated off at 40 °C. The mixture was thermally decomposed at 400 °C for 3 h with a heating rate of 3 °C/min. KIT-6 was then removed by dissolving the $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$/KIT-6 composite with hot 2 M NaOH solution twice. The remaining $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ was washed with water and dried at 60 °C. Mesoporous $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ with different particle size can be synthesized using the container effect of the thermal treatment with varied container volume [12]. $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ sample 1 was obtained by calcination in a glass bottle with volume of 350 mL, whereas sample 2 in a 30 mL glass bottle.

The wide-angle X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) pattern was recorded on a Philips X’pert powder Diffractometer with a graphite monochromator and Fe Kα source ($\lambda=0.193$ nm). The small angle X-ray diffraction (SAXRD) pattern was taken on a Philips X’pert MPD thin film powder XRD using a Cu Kα radiation.
Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements were performed on a FEI T20 microscope. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were measured on a FEI XL40 instrument. Nitrogen physisorption data were measured at 77 K on a Micromeritics TriStar porosimeter apparatus. The gas sensors were fabricated by dip-coating a water paste of In$_2$O$_3$ onto alumina ceramic tube with gold electrodes. The paste was prepared by mixing of 50 mg In$_2$O$_3$ and 0.5 mL deionized water. The as-modified electrode was dried under ambient conditions overnight before use. The gas-sensing properties of the gas sensors were measured under a steady-state condition in an organic glass chamber with a volume of 20 L. An appropriate amount of gas vapor was injected into the closed chamber by a microinjector to form the prospective gas concentration. And the sensor was exposed to air again by opening the chamber when the test was completed. The gas-sensing were measured by a CGS-8 series gas-sensing measurement system (Beijing Elite Tech Co., LTD, China). The response (sensitivity, $S = R_a/R_g$) was defined as the ratio of sensor resistance in dry air ($R_a$) to that in a target gas ($R_g$).

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1a shows the XRD pattern of In$_2$O$_3$ sample 1. Well-defined diffraction peaks indexed to the body-centered cubic (bcc) phase of bixbyte In$_2$O$_3$ (JCPDS card No. 06-0416) indicates the well crystalline nature of sample 1. The SAXRD pattern of sample 1 showing one intense peak at 2$\theta$ of 0.94° corresponds to the 211 diffraction peak of Ia3d symmetry, which indicates the long-range mesostructured regularity of hard-template KIT-6 was well
retained in the In$_2$O$_3$ replica. The SEM images of both samples are shown in Fig. 1c and d. Sample 1 is nearly spherical particle and with near uniform particle size. Sample 2 is with larger particle size and wider particle-size distribution. The average particle size of samples 1 and 2 are 135 and 346 nm, respectively, by measuring about 30 particles for each sample. Obviously, the particle sizes of the In$_2$O$_3$ can be controlled easily by our previous reported “container effect” nanocasting method [12]. The TEM images shown in Fig. 1e and f confirm that both In$_2$O$_3$ have sphere-like morphology with periodic cubic (Ia3d) mesostructure. The particle size and mesostructured ordering of sample 2 are larger than sample 1, while, sample 1 is with better particle-size distribution, which is in good agreement with the SEM result.

The optimum operating temperatures of both In$_2$O$_3$ samples were determined through varying the heating current to achieve the highest sensitivity. Fig. 2a shows the optimum operating temperature for sample 1 is 300$ ^\circ$C with sensitivity of 29.8 to 50 ppm of acetone, which is much higher than that (17.4) of sample 2 at the same optimum operating temperature. The response and recovery times of sample 1 to 50 ppm of acetone (Fig. 2b) are 0.7 and 14 s, respectively, which are faster than the results reported in some literatures [3,13,14], while that of sample 2 are 8 and 37 s, respectively.

Development of acetone sensor at lower detection limit and over a wide concentration range is of practical interest, especially for the diabetes diagnosis, because in exhaled breath acetone concentration increases from $\leq$ 900 ppb for healthy humans to 1800 ppb for diabetes patients [9]. From Fig. 2c and d it is obvious that both sensors have a wide detection range for acetone from 500 to 50 ppm. The response value to 500 ppb of acetone for sample 1 is as high as 1.8, while, that for sample 2 is 1.5. With the increasing of the acetone concentration, the responses greatly increase. Fig. 2e show that sample 1 is more sensitive than sample 2. When compared with other reported In$_2$O$_3$ acetone sensors [13,14], sample 1 still possesses superior performance with a sensitivity of 29.8 to 50 ppm of acetone. Fig. 2f illustrates the response of both In$_2$O$_3$ to 50 ppm of various gases. Obviously, the responses of sample 1 to five gases are all better than that of...
sample 2, and the largest increase is observed for acetone, implying the good selective detection of the sample 1 sensor to acetone.

When working at the optimal operation temperature of 300 °C, sample 1 has the better sensitivity to acetone in compared with sample 2. For metal oxide sensor, the sensing performance depends on several factors, such as surface areas, porous structures and particle sizes, which greatly affect the adsorption and diffusion of gas molecules [15]. The N2 physisorption results are shown in Fig. 3a and b. Both samples gave a typical IV isotherm with a clear H1-type hysteresis loop, which is characteristic for mesoporous materials. The specific surface areas of sample 1 and 2 are 77.2 and 87.5 m² g⁻¹, respectively. The average pore sizes of sample 1 are 4.4 and 13.9 nm, which arise from the KIT-6 replica and the piled porosity by the particles aggregation, respectively, whereas for sample 2, the average pore size of 2.3 and 10.6 nm can be observed. Sample 1 and 2 are with the similar mesoporous morphology except for their particle size and pore-size distributions. Tiemann et al. indicated that Knudsen diffusion is the main diffusion type for metal oxide sensing materials with smaller pores sizes (several nanometers), and the bigger pores are favorable for the transport of gas molecules [4]. Sample 2 with larger particle size decreases the proportion of larger piled mesopores (Fig. 3b), makes the diffusion of target gases within the sensing layer hard, and results the short effective diffusion and thin electron depletion layer, which leads to the lower gas sensitivity performance (gassensing mechanism in Fig. 3c). For sample 1, proper diffusion channel of small intraparticle mesopores and big interparticle mesopores, and moderate particle size for effective electron depletion are all causes for the best sensor response, even with a little lower surface area compared to sample 2.

4. Conclusions

In this study, ordered mesoporous In2O3 with different particle size and pore-size distribution have been synthesized using “container effect” nanocasting method. The In2O3 gas sensors were evaluated by changing the operation temperature, gas concentration and gas species. In2O3 sample 1 with 135 nm in particle size and 4.4 and 13.9 nm in pore sizes exhibited high sensitivity, low detection limit and good selectivity to acetone. A possible enhancement gas-sensing mechanism was also proposed based on the In2O3 morphology and gas-sensing properties.
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